

Software Development and Evolution

Seminar in Advanced Software
Engineering, FS 2014

Thomas Fritz

Organizational Announcements

- 3rd year and up
(prerequisite: Software Engineering)
- Language for report and presentation is English
- Work independently
- Don't forget to do the "Modulbuchung"!

Seminar Goals

- Introduce you to a part of research in software engineering
- Improve your ability to critically read and analyze scientific papers
- Strengthen your technical writing and your presentation skills
- Improve your ability to ask and answer critical questions

What you have to do

- Do a literature review for an assigned topic
(at least 10 relevant articles)
- Write a report and refine it
(12 to 15 pages, LNCS format)
- Review two reports
- Present your findings
(approx. 15mins + 10mins questions)
- Actively participate in discussions

3 credits / 90 hours: approx. 70 report & review, 20 presentation

Software Development and Evolution - Topics

- Supporting Crosscutting Concerns
- Code Recommendation
- Concept Location and Code Search
- Tool Support for Tasks/Bugs
- Software Quality Tools
- Refactoring
- Software Architecture
- APIs
- Human Aspects in Software Development

Topic – Supporting Crosscutting Concerns

- Aspect-Oriented Programming.
- N degrees of separation: multi-dimensional separation of concerns.
- Concern graphs: finding and describing concerns using structural program dependencies.

Topic – Code Recommendation

- Using structural context to recommend source code examples.
- Mining version histories to guide software changes.

Topic – Concept Location and Code Search

- Feature identification: where in the code is this feature implemented.
- Where should the bugs be fixed? Using information retrieval and more to localize bugs.

Topic – Tool Support for Tasks/Bugs

- Using task context to improve programmer productivity.
- Who should fix this bug?
- Summarizing software artifacts: a case study of bug reports.

Topic – Software Quality Tools

- Yesterday my program worked today it does not. Why?
- Change analysis with Evolizer and ChangeDistiller.
- Tools for continuously evaluating distributed-system qualities.

Topic – Refactoring

- A survey of software refactoring.
- How we refactor and how we know it.
- Automated testing of refactoring engines.

Topic – Software Architecture

- Using software evolution to focus architectural recovery.
- ArchJava: connecting software architecture to implementation.
- Software Reflexion Models: bridging the gap between source and high-level models.

Topic – APIs

- What makes APIs hard to learn? Answers from developers.
- How to design a good API and why it matters.

Topic – Human Aspects in Software Development

- Using fMRI (functional magnetic resonance imaging) to examine program comprehension
- Using eye-tracking for characterizing program comprehension

Finding Relevant Work

- search online by author, keyword, topic, etc. on personal web sites, Google Scholar, ACM Digital library, Citeseer, IEEE Digital Library
- Look through proceedings of main conferences (ICSE, FSE, ESEM, ICGSE, ICPC, CSCW)
- Browse and follow references/citations in relevant papers and read related work sections
- If you found a relevant and older paper, look for papers it is “cited by”

Code bubbles: rethinking the user interface paradigm of integrated development environments


Full Text: [PDF](#)

- Authors: [Andrew Bragdon](#) [Brown University](#)
[Steven P. Reiss](#) [Brown University](#)
[Robert Zeleznik](#) [Brown University](#)
[Suman Karumuri](#) [Brown University](#)
[William Cheung](#) [Brown University](#)
[Joshua Kaplan](#) [Brown University](#)
[Christopher Coleman](#) [Brown University](#)
[Ferd Adeptura](#) [Brown University](#)
[Joseph J. LaViola, Jr.](#) [University of Central Florida](#)



[Bibliometrics](#)
 · Downloads (6 Weeks): 13
 · Downloads (12 Months): 258
 · Citation Count: 11

Published in:



· Proceeding
[ICSE '10](#) Proceedings of the 32nd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering - Volume 1
 ACM New York, NY, USA ©2010
[table of contents](#) ISBN: 978-1-60558-719-6 doi>[10.1145/1806799.1806866](#)

Tools and Resources

- [Request Permissions](#)
- [TOC Service:](#)
[Email](#) [RSS](#) [RSS](#)
- [Save to Binder](#)
- [Export Formats:](#)
[BibTeX](#) [EndNote](#) [ACM Ref](#)
- [Upcoming Conference:](#)
[ICSE '12](#)
- Share:

Tags: [bubbles](#) [concurrent views](#) [debugging](#) [human factors](#) [human factors](#) [integrated development environments](#) [integrated environments](#) [navigation](#) [source code](#) [working set](#)

[Feedback](#) | Switch to [single page view](#) (no tabs)

- [Abstract](#)
- [Authors](#)
- [References](#)
- [Cited By](#)
- [Index Terms](#)
- [Publication](#)
- [Reviews](#)
- [Comments](#)
- [Table of Contents](#)

11 Citations

- [Robert DeLine , Gina Venolia , Kael Rowan, Software development with code maps, Communications of the ACM, v.53 n.8, August 2010](#)
- [Robert DeLine , Gina Venolia , Kael Rowan, Software Development with Code Maps, Queue, v.8 n.7, July 2010](#)
- [John Hardy , Christopher Bull , Gerald Kotonya , Jon Whittle, Digitally annexing desk space for software development: NIER track, Proceeding of the 33rd international conference on Software engineering, May 21-28, 2011, Waikiki, Honolulu, HI, USA](#)

Reading a Research Paper

- Read *critically*: be suspicious and ask appropriate questions:
 - e.g. are the authors solving the right problem, what are the limitations, are the assumptions reasonable
- Read *creatively*: critical is easy, reading creatively is harder:
 - e.g. what are the good ideas, how would you extend it, are there applications or extensions the authors haven't thought of
- Make *notes*!
- After reading, try to *summarize* the paper
- *Compare* to other works

Based on Mizenmacher (2010)

Expectations to Report

- Summarize current state of the art
- Provide a good overview of the area
- Present main research questions, concepts, ideas and approaches in the area as well as open challenges
- Find commonalities, specialties, differences,...
- Critical and creative thinking, some reflection on your own

- Wikipedia is not an option!

Expectations to Report (2)

- Find good structure / outline / categorization and present in a coherent and consistent way
 - Abstract, Introduction, Related Work, Discussion, Conclusion, References, Word of Honor
- Use **correct and understandable English**, presentation is very important (proof-read?)
- Phrases such as “I like this paper” should not be in it
- Cite and quote correctly to avoid plagiarism!

- Higher for master than for bachelor students

Find more details at (Sven Seuken):

http://www.ifi.uzh.ch/ce/teaching/fall2013/seminar/seminar_guideline.pdf¹⁹

Word of Honor

- At the end of your report, include a note on a separate page which you sign, stating:

I, [first and last name], hereby declare that I have produced this work independently and have used no other than the listed tools and sources
- This does not count towards the 12-15 pages
- Only required for the final report!

Review a Report

- Start with a brief summary of the report (2-3 sentences)
- Technical quality, originality/novelty and significance:
are the arguments in the paper correct, how original/novel is the report, how significant is the research question the author poses, is the research area well covered, what is good about the report, are there any problems/issues, what could the author improve
- Logical structure, presentation and style:
is the paper coherent, well-written and are concepts and approaches well-explained, are graphics/tables used appropriately, is it easy to follow and clear, how could it be improved
- Be constructive, polite and professional!
- Start with summary, pros/cons and go from high granularity to lower

Review a Report

- You will receive a review form through EasyChair
- Provide your review and a grade on a scale from 0 to 6 (minimum increments of 0.25)
 - 5 - 6: An excellent work.
 - 4 - 5: A good work with just a couple of small weaknesses.
 - 3 - 4: An average work with clear weaknesses.
 - 0 - 3: Insufficient work with many substantial weaknesses.

Presentation (15 mins)

- Several guidelines on website
- Some more:
 - Don't exceed the time limit!!!
 - Practice the talk
 - Don't ignore the audience
 - Avoid too many slides, too many bullets, fonts too small, too much text
 - Have a flow
 - Motivate topic, explain concepts, provide overview,...
- Scientifically Speaking:

http://www.erp.wisc.edu/profdev/Scientifically_speaking.pdf

Deadlines

- Mar 6th – Email with 3 preferences to me (latest)
- Mar 7th – Topic assignment
- Apr 6th – Report submission (midnight hard deadline)
- Apr 16th – Review period end
- Apr 17th – Notification
- Apr 27th – Corrected report submission
- May 9th – Presentation Day (9am, mandatory)

More Information

- See website:
<http://www.ifi.uzh.ch/seal/teaching/courses/seminar.html>
(also includes presentation guidelines)
- Contact:
Thomas Fritz fritz@ifi.uzh.ch

BSc and MSc Project...

- Answering developers questions
- Biometric Sensors in SE
- Personal Analytics
- ...

...contact me if you are interested
