

```
try {
    QifParser parser = new QifParser();
    parser.parseFullFile(new File("sample.qif"));

    Assert.assertTrue("Sample parser securities",
        parser.securities != null);
    Assert.assertTrue("Sample parser account list",
        parser.accountList != null);
    Assert.assertTrue("Sample parser classes size",
        parser.classes.size() > 0);
    Assert.assertTrue("Sample parser categories",
        parser.categories != null);
} catch (NoAccountException nae) {
    Assert.fail(nae.getMessage());
}
```

# Human Aspects of Software Engineering

Manuela Züger / Thomas Fritz

---

# Course Objectives

- Deepen and broaden your knowledge of Software Engineering research by ***reading, reflecting and discussing*** current and classic literature
- Experience a glimpse of Software Engineering research through a research ***project*** work
- Focus on ***research***

---

# Non-Traditional Course Format

## Outside of class

- 4 weeks: 3 to 5 assigned papers per week  
read them, think about them, write a short response paper
- Small Research Project  
find a research question, write and present a proposal, do it, write it up and present it

## In class

- 4 (3 + 1) weeks: Moderation and discussion  
student moderator leads, everyone else participates in discussion
- Other times: Weekly Meetings, Presentation

No exams, however, projects take lots of time! [6 ECTS]

---

# Tentative Schedule

- Sept 15<sup>th</sup>: Course Overview
- Sept 22<sup>nd</sup>: Empirical Research & Information Needs  
(Papers & Discussion P&D)
- Sept 29<sup>th</sup>: Biometrics and Emotions in SE (P&D)
- Oct 6<sup>th</sup>: Interruptions and Machine Learning (P&D)
- Oct 13<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup>: Proposal Discussions (One-on-one Meetings)  
→ sign up early by email to [fritz@ifi.uzh.ch](mailto:fritz@ifi.uzh.ch) with 3 preferences of 30mins slots for Tue / Wed / Thu
- Oct 20<sup>th</sup>: Proposal Presentations in Class (presentation)

---

# Tentative Schedule (2)

Oct 27 <sup>th</sup> :	Meetings
Nov 3 <sup>rd</sup> :	Eye-Tracking in SE (Papers & Discussion P&D)
Nov 10 <sup>th</sup> – Dec 5 <sup>th</sup> :	Meetings
Dec 8 <sup>th</sup> :	Project Report Due
Dec 18 <sup>th</sup> /19 <sup>th</sup> :	Project Presentations & PC Meeting Quick Voting: 1) 18 <sup>th</sup> morning 2) 18 <sup>th</sup> afternoon 3) 19 <sup>th</sup> morning 4) 19 <sup>th</sup> afternoon

---

# Focus on Research and the Process

The ***process*** is important

- Identifying interesting research questions (RQs)
- determining how to investigate them and running analysis
- presenting and writing up results

Research is mostly an ***iterative*** process

- Identifying relevant RQs is difficult and discussing and then revising them is important and part of research

---

# Focus of Course

- Studies of programmers
- Data on programmers: interactions, biometric, observation logs ...
- Individual development and development activities (rather than processes, planning, requirements, ..)

---

# Topics

- Empirical Research and Information Needs
- Biometrics and Emotions in SE
- Interruptions and Machine Learning
- Eye-Tracking in SE



---

# NOTES (for next time)

- Next time: provide some examples so it becomes clearer to students
- Make sure to state that the “process” is important, i.e. getting to an interesting project
- Have a proposal presentation so that people see what others are doing

---

# Grading

- Readings, including moderation, class participation and 3 response papers [25%]
- Project, including continuous progress, meetings, write up and presentation [55%]
- Written critique (review) of one paper [10%]
- Peer evaluation of two project reports [10%]

---

# Response Papers

- Encouragement to read and reflect
  - Class discussions work better if everyone has read and thought about the paper
- At most one to two pages per class
- **NOT a summary.** Think of it this way
  - If I asked you what you thought about a movie you recently went to, you wouldn't just summarize it
- Grading based on “thoughtfulness”
- Due by **8pm** on Sunday before class
  - Submit on: **OLAT**

---

# Response Papers

- Questions of interest
  - What did you think about it and what did you find important or interesting?
  - What are main contributions of the paper?
  - What are strengths or weaknesses of the paper/research?
  - What are five questions you have about it?
  - What could be improved?
  - How could you imagine extending the work?
  - Do you agree or disagree with the findings?
  - ...
- Express your perspective, ***address all readings*** and ***draw connections between readings*** when possible
- Example provided on web site!

---

# Discussions

- Discuss the research:
  - which problem are they trying to address, how are they tackling the problem, how do they evaluate their approach, ...
- Share your opinions, ideas and thoughts
- Ask questions about the work
- See what others thought
- Listen and speak actively
- Look for contributions not just flaws in reading

---

# Written Critique of a paper

- Research communities rely on peer reviews of results, if you want to be a researcher you need to learn about critiquing research papers
- Paper review will also help you to learn what is important when you write up your own work
- Templates will be provided (as well as example)  
Summary, what are its strengths, what are the weaknesses  
(realize that the authors would usually read it, so be constructive)

---

# Leading Discussions

- For about 2 papers (**depends** on class size)
- Prepare for discussion
  - Prepare brief introduction (**less** than 3 minutes)
  - Provide additional background on subject
  - Prepare interesting and challenging points and questions for discussion
  - Think about overall structure, how to make it interactive and how to keep discussion going
- Hand in a brief (one paragraph) description of your plan
- Sign-up at the end of **THIS** lecture

---

# Moderation

- Available time approx. 20 to 30mins (315min / # students); keep time in mind
- Introduce and provide background (only 2 to **3mins max**)
- Moderate an interactive discussion and include other student's perspectives and ideas
- Try to involve all participants
- Give impulses for discussion
- If an interesting topic comes up, be flexible about veering from your discussion plan
- Be respectful



---

# Research Project – Empirical Analysis

- Identify a real problem developers face / investigate specific aspect of SE ***in context of provided data sets***
- Read related work and determine your niche
- Identify relevant/interesting research question
- Determine how to address the research question
- Run analysis
- Write up results in a scientific manner

# Research Project – Empirical Analysis (2)

- Each project accompanied by a paper (max. 5 or 10 pages)
- Individual or in groups (up to 2 people, depending on class size)
- One page project proposal *draft* due on **October 10<sup>th</sup>**
- Project proposal presentation
- Final one- to two-page proposal due on **October 20<sup>th</sup>**
- Written report due on **December 8<sup>th</sup>**
- Project presentation

# Research Project – Empirical Analysis (3)

- Project report: ACM paper format
- You will have your project approved by Thomas Fritz
- One-on-one meetings shortly before and after project proposal is due
- Continuous short progress meetings:
  - discuss progress, next steps, open questions, keep on track ...
  - take advantage of them, i.e. prepare and ask!
  - 10 to 20 minutes via skype/gmail hangout

# Research Project – Empirical Analysis (3)

- Multiple data sets on biometric data in SE
  - Eye-tracking, EDA, EEG, observations, interaction history,...
- Each will be introduced with some related work

---

# Peer Evaluations

- Assess projects like a program committee
  - Everyone will read and review two project reports
  - Reviews are organized via OLAT
- Hand in review (will also be sent to authors)
- Program committee meeting in the last class
  - up to you whether we 'accept' papers
  - acceptance has no bearing on grade

---

# The professor and the TAs

- We're here to help
- Talk to us if you want feedback or need help
- Talk to us if you do not find a topic or want to discuss your idea
- In class, we're here to discuss

---

# Team

- Manuela Züger (PhD student working on ...)
- Thomas Fritz ([fritz@ifi.uzh.ch](mailto:fritz@ifi.uzh.ch))
- Katja Kevic ([kevic@ifi.uzh.ch](mailto:kevic@ifi.uzh.ch)): main TA
- Sebastian Müller

---

# My research interests...



**Introduce yourself!**

---

What would you like to learn about?

Any topics you are missing in the list?

---

# To Dos

- Choose 2 papers you would like to present  
Sign up NOW!!!
- Start thinking about projects as soon as possible: what are you interested in?
- Register on OLAT

---

# Next week

- Four papers on Empirical Research and Information Needs
  - **Developer's Code Context Models for Change Tasks**, Fritz et al. FSE'14.
  - **Information Needs in Collocated Software Development Teams**, Ko et al. ICSE'07.
  - **What makes good research in software engineering?**, JSTTT'02.
  - **Preliminary guidelines for empirical research in software engineering**, Kitchenham et al. TSE'02.
- Read and write short (1-2 page) response paper
- Submit on OLAT (course: HS 14 Human Aspects of Software Engineering)

---

# More Information

- See website:

<http://www.ifi.uzh.ch/seal/teaching/courses/hase.html>

- Contact:

- Thomas Fritz

fritz@ifi.uzh.ch

- Katja Kevic

kevic@ifi.uzh.ch