



Assignment 4

Traceability and Requirements Evolution

Prof. Dr. Martin Glinz

Anne Koziolok

I. Tasks

Individual Tasks

- Read the mandatory items in the reading list
- Prepare two questions about each paper to ask your classmates. These questions can, for example, be about aspects of the paper that are not clear to you, or about your classmate's opinion on interesting aspects.
- Be prepared to give a short summary of each paper in class. This summary should address the following questions:
 - What is the main message of the paper?
 - What are the expected benefits of the proposed method or the paper in general?
 - What are weaknesses of the paper in your opinion?
- Be prepared to answer the questions given below in class

Group Tasks

- Prepare a 15 minutes presentation (5-10 slides) on the theme assigned to your course group and choose two persons from your group to present it.
 - At the beginning of your presentation, relate your topic to the session's topic (as represented by the mandatory reading)
 - Browse/read additional papers and/or web pages where necessary.
- Generate a requirements-to-stakeholders traceability matrix for the requirements document that you produced for task 2.5 in exercise 1 of Requirements Engineering I. Organize the matrix based on which stakeholder's needs are reflected by a requirement (cf. explanation by [Jarke 1998]), not based on which person communicated a requirement. That is, do not only trace most requirements to Mr. Grönstrom, but also to the stakeholders whose needs are addressed, because Mr. Grönstrom only passed on these requirements.

II. Reading List

Mandatory reading

[Jarke 1998] and [Dick 2005] motivate and introduce traceability, while [Ramesh 2001] establishes reference models for it.

Optional Reading

Traceability was first systematically discussed and analyzed in [Gotel 1994], thus establishing this area of research.

Theme-specific reading

[Egyed 2002], [Ben Charrada 2010]: Traceability and Software Execution.
[Hayes 2006], [Cleland-Huang 2007]: Traceability and Information Retrieval.
[von Knethen 2003], [Jönsson 2005]: Impact Analysis with Traceability.

III. Questions

- What is requirements traceability?
- What is the benefit of requirements traceability and what does it cost?
- How can one establish and maintain traces?
- Did the understanding of traceability evolve over time?
- What is the role of tools?

IV. Themes for Presentation

Themes will be assigned by the assistant who tutors this course; your group can apply for a theme.

A. Traceability and Software Execution

How can traceability links be generated by observing the execution of software? How can high-level tests be used to propagate changes in source code to requirements?

B. Traceability and Information Retrieval

How can traceability links be generated with information retrieval techniques? Can and should humans be replaced for defining traceability?

C. Impact Analysis with Traceability

What is post-requirements traceability used for? How can and should such information be maintained?

References

- Ben Charrada, E., M. Glinz (2010). An automated hint generation approach for supporting the evolution of requirements specifications. *Joint ERCIM Workshop on Software Evolution and International Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution (IWPSE-EVOL '10)*, Antwerp, Belgium. 58-62.
- Cleland-Huang, J., R. Settini, E. Romanova, B. Berenbach, S. Clark (2007). Best Practices for Automated Traceability. *IEEE Computer* **40**, 6 (Jun. 2007). 27-35.
- Dick, J. (2005). Design traceability. *IEEE Software* **22**, 6 (Nov. 2005). 14-16.
- Egyed, A., P. Grünbacher (2002). Automating requirements traceability: Beyond the record replay paradigm. *17th IEEE International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE 2002)*, Edinburgh, UK. 163-171.
- Gotel, O., A. Finkelstein (1994). An Analysis of the Requirements Traceability Problem. *1st International Conference on Requirements Engineering*, Colorado Springs. 94-101.
- Hayes, J. H., A. Dekhtyar, S. K. Sundaram (2006). Advancing Candidate Link Generation for Requirements Tracing: The Study of Methods. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **32**, 1 (Jan. 2006). 4-19.
- Jarke, M (1998). Requirements Traceability. *Communications of the ACM* **41**, 12 (Dec. 1998). 32-36.

Jönsson, P., M. Lindvall (2005). Impact Analysis. In Aurum, A., C. Wohlin. *Engineering and Managing Software Requirements*. Springer. 117-142.

Von Knethen, A., M. Grund (2003). QuaTrace: A Tool Environment for (Semi-)Automatic Impact Analysis Based on Traces. *International Conference on Software Maintenance*, 2003. 246-255.

Ramesh, B., M. Jarke (2001). Toward Reference Models for Requirements Traceability. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* **27**, 1 (Jan. 2001). 58-92.